

SW



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,638	04/17/2001	Jean-Francois Gonthier	P20847	4825

7055 7590 11/17/2003

GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER

SHRIVER II, JAMES A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3618

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SW

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/835,638	Applicant(s) GONTHIER, JEAN-FRANCOIS	
	Examiner J. Allen Shriver	Art Unit 3618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-10,13 and 15-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 28-31 and 33-36 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-10,13,15-20,24,25 and 32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 21-23,26 and 27 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's submittal of an amendment was received on September 5, 2003, wherein claims 1, 23, 28, 30 and 32 were amended and new claims 33-36 were added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-10, 13, 15, 18-19 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reuss (US Patent 6,250,651 B1).** Reuss discloses a binding (70) to retain a boot (75) on a gliding or rolling apparatus (74), said binding comprising a base (See Figs. 7-8) for supporting the boot, said base including an upper surface extending along a plane; a first lateral flange (72), a second lateral flange transversely spaced from said first lateral flange (See Fig. 8), said first and second lateral flanges extending upwardly relative to said surface of the base, at least one linkage connecting said first flange to said second flange (See Figs. 7-8); said linkage including a first band (26) extending from one fastening end to a free end, a first fastener attaching said fastening end of said first band to said first flange, said linkage further including a tightening device (24) attached to said second flange, said tightening device facilitating an adjustment of a length of said linkage by removably retaining a portion of said first band; said

Art Unit: 3618

first band (26) of said linkage extending longitudinally in a direction along a plane from said first fastener to said tightening device and said second band of said linkage extending longitudinally in a direction along a plane from said second fastener to said tightening device; an abutment (40) being fixed to said first band toward said free end of said first band, so as to be located on an opposite side of the tightening device with respect to said fastening end of said first band, thus preventing a separation of said first band and said tightening device (See Figs. 6-8); at least one linkage of said at least one linkage being movable relative to said first and second lateral flanges to a boot removal/insertion position extending substantially along a plane parallel to said plane of said base (See Fig. 7 and column 6, lines 50-54); further comprising a second fastener (See Fig. 8), wherein said linkage includes a second band (42), said second fastener attaching said second band to said second lateral flange, said tightening device being fixed to said second band (See Figs. 6-8); wherein said flanges are attached to said base; wherein said tightening device has a passage for guiding said first band, said abutment being wider than said passage (See Fig. 6); wherein said first and second fasteners of each linkage are journals (78); wherein one guide (46) said abutment along said second band (See Figs. 6-8); further comprising a base plate (See Fig. 8) for supporting the boot, said base plate including a surface extending along a plane, wherein at least one linkage of said at least one linkage is pivotal about a respective one of said journals to a position substantially contained in a plane parallel to said plane of said base plate (See Fig. 8), further comprising a rear arch (82) for supporting a rear of the boot, said rear arch connecting said first and second lateral flanges, wherein a length of each of said at least one linkage is constructed and arranged so that, when said each of said at least one linkage is forwardly positioned, each of said at least one linkage extends around a front of the boot when the boot is

Art Unit: 3618

supported on said base plate and in contact with said rear arch (See Fig. 7); wherein said first fastener extends from said first band to said first flange and said second fastener extends from said second band to said second flange; wherein said first band includes an inner surface facing said base and an outer surface facing away from said base, and wherein said abutment extends away from said outer surface of said first band (See Figs. 5-6 wherein the abutment 40 extends away from said outer surface of said first band 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reuss (US Patent 6,250,651 B1).** Reuss discloses a binding as set forth in paragraph 7 above, but does not specifically disclose wherein said at least one linkage includes a front linkage having a length within a range of about 25-50 centimeters and a rear linkage having a length within a range of about 45-85 centimeters. In column 5, lines 15+, Reuss discloses providing various range adjustment of the straps to accommodate different sized boots. At the time of the invention, it would have been within the requisite skill of a person of ordinary skill in this art to provide a length of adjustment of about 25-50 cm for the front linkage and about 45-85 cm for the rear linkage. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow for the length adjustment of straps to accommodate different sized boots.

Art Unit: 3618

6. **Claims 20 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reuss (US Patent 6,250,651 B1) in view of Bumgarner (US Patent 5,758,895).** Reuss discloses a binding as set forth above, but does not disclose wherein said free end of said first band has a longitudinally tapered shape, and wherein said abutment has a pair of lateral teeth and wherein said abutment is substantially in a form of a wedge, said wedge having a surface substantially perpendicular to said outer surface of said first band and facing longitudinally toward said tightening device. Bumgarner discloses wherein said free end of said first band has a longitudinally tapered shape (See Fig. 1), and wherein said abutment has a pair of lateral teeth and wherein said abutment is substantially in a form of a wedge (24), said wedge having a surface substantially perpendicular to said outer surface of said first band and facing longitudinally toward said tightening device (See Figs. 4-6). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to modify the shape of the free end of Reuss's first band to have either a tapered shape with lateral teeth or a wedge in view of the teaching of Bumgarner. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent the free end of the first band from passing through the tightening device.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 21-23 and 26-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claims 28-31 and 33-36 are allowed over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 13-15, Applicant argues that REUSS does not disclose a "boot removal/insertion position" of the linkage as extending substantially along a plane parallel to the plane of the base. Specifically, Applicant further argues that the strap disclosed in REUSS cannot be rotated forwardly from the 75° position to a 90° position and that the statement in column 6, lines 50-54 of REUSS is manifestly false. First, Examiner disagrees with Applicant's argument that RUESS's statement that the strap could be configured in any manner is false. Common sense dictates that the strap couldn't be rotated more than 90 degrees, because it would hit the surface of the snowboard, but nothing in the disclose limits the rotation of the strap to 90°. Examiner feels that Applicant is misinterpreting REUSS's statement in column 6, lines 50-54. REUSS specifically states that either the *straps and/or the baseplate* may be configured in *any manner* to hold the toe strap at any desired position relative to the baseplate. This statement clearly infers that another embodiment is envisioned where the baseplate and/or straps could be changed in order to have the strap be rotated exactly 90°.

Second, as currently claimed, the limitations of claims 1 and 32 only require that the linkage is movable "to a position extending SUBSTANTIALLY along a plane parallel to said plane of said base. Applicant points out that REUSS in column 6, lines 38-49, states that the linkage 20 is held above the horizontal plane of the base plate when rotated to hold the toe strap approximately 10° above the horizontal plane of the base plate. Being held at a position 10° above the horizontal plane of the base plate meets the current limitation requiring that the linkage only be SUBSTANTIALLY along a plane parallel to the plane of the base.

Art Unit: 3618

On page 15, Applicant contends that as amended, claims 1 and 32 require the first and second bands of the linkage to extend "longitudinally in a direction along a plane" from the first fastener and the second fastener, respectively, to the tightening device; and that RUESS's linkage includes "dogleg" ends. Examiner feels that even though the ends of the linkages are doglegged, they still extend longitudinally in a direction along a plane from the first and second fasteners.

On page 16, Applicant argues that the abutment disclosed in REUSS does not appear to engage the tightening device in REUSS as required in claims 23 and 28. Examiner agrees with this statement, because as shown in Figure 5, the channel 46 does not allow the abutment to engage with the tightening device, and thus has withdrawn the rejections of claims 23 and 28.

On page 17, Applicant argues that BUMGARNER does not provide motivation for the modification of the REUSS binding, because BUMGARNER uses the wedge abutment for a different purpose. Examiner disagrees with this argument because the BUMGARNER reference is only relied on to show that abutment disclosed in RUESS can be configured in different configurations and still operate to stop the movement of the linkage relative to the tightening device.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO**

Art Unit: 3618

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Allen Shriver whose telephone number is (703) 308-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Johnson can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

J. Allen Shriver
Examiner
Art Unit 3618


JAS


BRIAN L. JOHNSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
11/14/03